

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

2009 JUN 10 A 9:45

Reginold Darnell Hoover, #923377,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 4:09-1091-SB-TER

C.C.S. Correct Care Solutions, Inc.,
Nurse Monica, C/O F. Aderson a/k/a
Anderson, Sgt. Clawson, Inmate Lee
Sumpter,

Defendants.

DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON, SC**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon the Plaintiff's pro se complaint, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By local rule, the matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for preliminary determinations.


On May 12, 2009, United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") analyzing the Plaintiff's complaint and recommending that the Court dismiss Defendant Inmate Lee Sumpter from this action without prejudice based on the fact that the inmate is a private individual and did not act under color of state law. Attached to the R&R was a notice advising the Plaintiff of his right to file specific, written objections to the R&R within ten days of the date of service of the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed.

Absent timely objection from a dissatisfied party, a district court is not required to review, under a de novo or any other standard, a Magistrate Judge's factual or legal conclusions. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); Wells v. Shriners Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 201 (4th Cir. 1997). Here, because the Plaintiff did not file any specific, written

objections, the Court need not conduct a de novo review of any portion of the R&R. After review, the Court hereby adopts the Magistrate Judge's R&R as the Order of this Court, and it is

ORDERED that Defendant Inmate Lee Sumpter is dismissed from this action without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.


The Honorable Sol Blatt, Jr.
Senior United States District Judge

June 9, 2009
Charleston, South Carolina

